

#37

**BRIEF FOR APPELLEE - DIRECTOR OF  
THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT**

---

**Appeal No. 06-1003  
(Serial No. 09/449,237)**

---

**IN RE JAMES PRESCOTT CURRY**

---

**Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark Office,  
Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences**

---

**JOHN M. WHEALAN  
Solicitor**

**ROBERT J. MCMANUS  
RAYMOND T. CHEN  
Associate Solicitors**

**P.O. Box 15667  
Arlington, Virginia 22215  
(571) 272-9035**

**Attorneys for the Director of the  
United States Patent and Trademark Office**

March 10, 2006

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| I.   | STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE .....   | 1  |
| II.  | STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....  | 2  |
| III. | STATEMENT OF THE FACTS .....   | 2  |
| A.   | The Claimed Method: Providing Access To “Wellness-Related” Databases To Authorized Terminals and Users Over The Internet ..... | 2  |
| B.   | The Prior Art: Baker Describes Providing Access To Databases To Authorized Terminals and Users Over The Internet .....         | 5  |
| C.   | The Examiner’s Reasoning .....   | 6  |
| D.   | The Board Decision .....   | 7  |
| IV.  | SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT .....  | 9  |
| V.   | ARGUMENT .....   | 10 |
| A.   | Standard of Review .....   | 10 |
| B.   | Curry’s Claimed Database Access Control Is Obvious In View of Baker’s Controlled Access Database .....                         | 11 |
| 1.   | Reciting A Particular Type Of Data (“Wellness-Related”) To Be Stored Does Not Render Curry’s Claims Patentable .....           | 12 |
| 2.   | Reciting Where A Computer Terminal Is “Located” (A Fitness Center) Lacks Patentable Merit .....                                | 20 |